

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1459 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	F	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/721,096	11/22/2000		Kimberly Christensen	98,541-C	4217
20306	7590	01/12/2004		EXAM	INER
		EHNEN HULBER	GAKH, Y	GAKH, YELENA G	
300 SOUTH WACKER DRIVE SUITE 3200 CHICAGO, IL 60606				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
				1743	

DATE MAILED: 01/12/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	09/721,096	CHRISTENSEN ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Yelena G. Gakh, Ph.D.	1743				
	unication appears on the cover sheet					
Period for Reply		·				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMML Extensions of time may be available under the provisi after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this co if the period for reply secfifed above. It he maximum if NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum Failure to reply within the set or extended period for ray Any reply received by the Office later than three morni earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) Status	JNICATION. ons of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may mmunication. y (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of n statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) M pily will, by statute, cause the application to become a after the mailing date of this communication, even	y a reply be timely filed thirty (30) days will be considered timely. (ONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. ABANDONEY 35 U.S. C. 8.133)				
1) Responsive to communication(s)	filed on 20 October 2003.					
2a) This action is FINAL.	2b) This action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition	on for allowance except for formal m					
Disposition of Claims	ctice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C	I.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.				
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>47-58</u> is/are pending in t	he application.					
	s/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>47-58</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to rest	riction and/or election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by	the Examiner.					
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/a	re: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected t	o by the Examiner.				
	jection to the drawing(s) be held in abey	` ,				
		ng(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
11) The oath or declaration is objected	to by the Examiner. Note the attach	ed Office Action or form PTO-152.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120						
12)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a clai a)☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of	m for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C	;. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).				
	ty documents have been received.					
 Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 						
	s of the priority documents have bee tional Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	n received in this National Stage				
* See the attached detailed Office act	tion for a list of the certified copies no	ot received.				
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim	for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C	2. § 119(e) (to a provisional application)				
37 CFR 1.78.	led in the first sentence of the specif	ication or in an Application Data Sheet.				
 a) The translation of the foreign I 						
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim reference was included in the first se		C. §§ 120 and/or 121 since a specific Application Data Sheet. 37 CFR 1.78.				
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview	v Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)				
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review	(PTO-948) 5) Notice of	f Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)				
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449)	Paper No(s) 6) Other:	•				
S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-326 (Rev. 11-03)	Office Action Summary	Part of Paper No. 010504				

Application/Control Number: 09/721,096

Art Unit: 1743

DETAILED ACTION

1. The Amendment and the Terminal Disclaimer filed on 10/20/03 are acknowledged. Claims 47-58 are pending in the application.

Response to Amendment

2. In response to the amendment the examiner withdraws rejections of the previous Office action and set forward rejections on the new grounds.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 4. Claims 47-48, 52-54 and 58 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by the protocol "Ziehl-Neelsen stain for AFB" (1999, adapted from references dated 1982, 1951).

The protocol discloses a method for a gentle dewaxing of a biological sample prepared for staining by heating slides in slide dryer to facilitate dewaxing, taking sections to water and draining the slide. All procedures take place in water, with "minimal exposure to organic solvents". Heating slides in slide dryer to facilitate dewaxing followed by placing the slides in water inherently comprises melting wax for its removal.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 5. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are

Application/Control Number: 09/721,096

Art Unit: 1743

such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

- 6. The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham* v. *John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:
 - 1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
 - 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
 - 3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
 - Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.
- 7. This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).
- 8. Claims 49-51 and 55-57 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over the Protocol in view of Bansbach et al. (CA 1059744 A).

Protocol does no specifically disclose using detergents in water for removing paraffin.

Bansbach teaches a method of removing paraffin deposits from oil wells by treatment with hot aqueous solution containing oxyalkylated surfactant.

It would have been obvious for anyone of ordinary skill in the art to slightly modify the Protocol by adding oxyalkylated surfactants into water, since Bansbach discloses advantages of adding such surfactants into water for removing paraffin deposits. It would have been obvious to use any of standard oxyalkylated surfactants of claim 51.

Art Unit: 1743

Response to Arguments

9. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 47-58 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Yelena G. Gakh, Ph.D. whose telephone number is (571) 272-1257. The examiner can normally be reached on 9:30 am - 6:00 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Jill A. Warden can be reached on (571) 272-1267. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0661.

Yeler Hale

Yelena G. Gakh

1/5/04